

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,082	12/03/2003	Antonio Cruz	24492-013 CIP	7658
30623 7	590 03/06/2006		EXAMINER	
•	IN, COHN, FERRIS	CORDERO GARCIA, MARCELA M		
AND POPEO, P.C. ONE FINANCIAL CENTER BOSTON, MA 02111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	

DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/728,082	CRUZ, ANTONIO		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Marcela M. Cordero Garcia	1654		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	_ action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-53 are subject to restriction and/or experience.	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/728,082 Page 2

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 2-12 and 53, drawn to a gastrin compound comprising $Z-Y_m-X_n-AA_1-AA_2-AA_3-AA_4-AA_5-AA_6$, classified, e.g., in class 514, subclass 14.
- II. Claims 13-17, drawn to a nucleotide sequence encoding a gastrin comprising Z-Y_m-X_n-AA₁-AA₂-AA₃-AA₄-AA₅-AA₆, classified, e.g., in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- III. Claims 18 and 20-22, drawn to a gastrin compound wherein the gastrin component contains at least amino acids selected from the group of: positions 29-34 of SEQ ID NO:1; positions 29-34 of SEQ ID NO:2; positions 12-17 of SEQ ID NO:3 and positions 12-17 of SEQ ID NO:4, classified, e.g., in class 514, subclass 16.
- IV. Claims 23-29, drawn to a gastrin compound comprising a structure C-Y_m-X, classified, e.g., in class 514, subclass 17.
- V. Claims 42-47, drawn to a method of making a gastrin compound,classified, e.g., in class 514, subclass 15.
- VI. Claims 37-41 and 48-50, drawn to a method of treating a diabetes patient, classified, e.g., in class 530, subclass 326.
- VII. Claim 51, drawn to a method for maintaining for an extended period of time an increased gastrin serum level, classified, e.g., in class 530, subclass 327.

Art Unit: 1654

Claims 1, 30-36 and 52 link inventions I, III and IV. Claim 19 links inventions I and III. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 1, 19, 30-36 and 52. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim(s) including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The compounds of Groups I-IV are different and distinct, each from the other, because they comprise one or more structural features that are mutually exclusive from

Application/Control Number: 10/728,082

Art Unit: 1654

the structural features of the compounds of the other groups. No group would render either of the other obvious, and separate searches are required for each.

The methods of Groups V-VII are directed to different inventions which are not connected in design, operation, or effect. These methods are independent since they are not disclosed as capable of use together, they have different modes of operation, they have different functions, and they have different effects. One would not have to practice the various methods at the same time to practice just one method alone.

Inventions V and I, III and IV (distinct products) are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the gastrin compounds may be made using different steps/reactions than those instantly claimed.

Inventions II (product) and V-VII (distinct methods) are unrelated as Invention II is not required for carrying out either invention (V-VII) and therefore would necessitate a separate search.

Inventions I, III-IV (distinct products) and V-VII (distinct methods) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, as evidenced by the claims themselves, the instantly claimed gastrin

products may be used to treat diabetes, and may also be used for maintaining for an extended period an increased gastrin serum level.

Page 5

The search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference which would anticipate the invention of one Group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another Group. Finally, the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine all of the above inventions in one application.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each Group is not necessarily required for the other Groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement, to be complete, must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double

Application/Control Number: 10/728,082

Art Unit: 1654

patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

No matter which group is elected, a further election of species is required. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: the many and multiple species of polypeptides and/or nucleic acids encompassed by Groups I-VI. The species are independent or distinct because they are drawn to different sequences.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species [i.e., elect a single and specific peptide sequence with all its residues fully assigned] for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-53 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Application/Control Number: 10/728,082 Page 7

Art Unit: 1654

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcela M. Cordero Garcia whose telephone number is (571) 272-2939. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Druc Campell

Marcela M Cordero Garcia, Ph.D. Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1654

MMCG 02/06

BRUCE R. CAMPELL, Fri. D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600